gondlu Municipality, and (2) V. Shama Iyengar and N. Puttanna, T.-Narsipur Taluk; H. Varadaiya, T.-Narsipur Municipality; P. R. Lakshminarasappa, Mugur Municipality; Chikmallappa, Gundlupet Taluk; Venkataramaiya and Kullegowda, Nagamangala Taluks, and Venkatasamaiya and Dorasami Iyengar, Chamrajnagar Taluk.

be empowered to receive criminal complaints direct. Bench Magistrates in each taluk may be vested with all powers of a 3rd class Magistrate, Amildars with 2nd class powers and the Special Magistrate with 1st class powers and to hear appeals from 2 Subordinate Courts as it is hard for people to go long distances.

Third Member of Council.—In non-cognizable cases, convictions are only one or two per cent. Criminal litigation should be checked as far as possible. More powers have been given to Bench Magistrates. We shall see how the scheme works.

Mr. Karnick Krishnamurthi Rao.—Redistribution of centres for Special Magistrates' Courts may be made. One Court may be established for at least 2 taluks.

Third Member of Council.—It is not possible to have one Court for two taluks as it will not have enough work.

Mr. V. Shamiengar.—Every day both the Inspector and the Sub-Inspector are coming to Mysore. My suggestion is that a Court may be established in taluks where the travelling allowance of officers is much and where work is more.

Third Member of Council.—Inspectors generally investigate important cases. I do not know why both the Inspectors come to Mysore.

Mr. V. Shamiengar.—Wherever the number of cases is large, Special Magistrates' Courts may be established. More facilities should be given to the Bench Magistrates, for better work; a peon should be given, a place for holding their Courts should be provided and more powers should be given to the Bench Magistrates.

Third Member of Council.—These are local grievances. A representation may be made to the District Magistrate who will redress them.

SUBJECT No. 77.

Authorising Special Magistrates to itinerate within their jurisdiction.

Mr. Channappa, Yedatore Taluk.

The situation of Special Magistrates' Courts is at a distance of 30 and 40 miles from some portions of the taluks concerned. Consequently, great difficulty is experienced by clients and witnesses to attend the Courts in time. Necessary arrangements may be made for Special Magistrates to go to every taluk and stop there for a certain length of time to decide all the cases relating to their taluk, then and there, without causing any inconvenience to the people.

Third Member of Council.—Itinerant Courts will not work well.

Dewan.—Not practicable.

SUBJECT No. 78.

Establishment of Village Munsiffs' Courts in each Municipality.

Messrs. Gopalayya, Holalkere Taluk and Phaniyachar, Hosdurga Taluk.

Mr. Gopalayya.—Village Munsiffs' Courts may be established in all Municipal Towns for the disposal of petty suits.

Third Member of Council.—This will be considered.

SUBJECT No. 79.

Improvement of Village Munsiffs' Courts

Messrs. (1) Nadig Bhima Rao, Channagiri Taluk, and S. Sitaramaiya, Shimoga Taluk and (2) G.S. Murigeppa, Gubbi Taluk.

Mr. Sitharamayya.—With the popularity of Village Munsiffs, the work in some Courts has been steadily increasing and cannot be conveniently managed without the help of a clerk. A provision may therefore be made for a clerk on Rs. 10 where the work is heavy.

Third Member of Council.—Government have examined the question. There are 200 Courts and at Rs. 5 or 6 per Court the total expenditure comes to Rs. 10,000 or 12,000. If the concession is shown to one Court, other Courts will also ask for the same. If you are agreeable to pay half the cost, the matter will be considered.

Mr. Sitharamayya.—To create stimulus and earnestness in the Village Munsiffs, such of them as have worked satisfactorily may be given an honorarium.

Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao.—Honorary work should never be paid for.

Third Member of Council.—Not desirable.

SUBJECT No. 80.

Amendment of the Court Fees Regulation.

Messrs. (1) S. Krishnaswamy Rao, Linge Gowda and Channappa, Myscre Taluk; (2) C. Subba Rao and P. Venkappaiya, Shimoga District Board and G. M. Kadappa, Shimoga Municipality and (3) K. Subbaraya Chetty, Bowringpet Taluk.

Mr. S. Krishnaswami Rao.—The Court Fees Regulation may be amended so as to allow refund of half the Court fees in case of pauper suits. If the suit is admitted, the admission fee should be reduced by half.

Third Member of Council.—The matter is under the consideration of the Chief Court.

Mr. C. Subba Rao.—If by mistake excess stamps are paid on plaint appeal memo, they are not refunded. Provision may be made for refund in such cases.

Third Member of Council.—The matter will be considered.

Mr. Subbaraya Chetty.—With regard to Court fees, some concession may be shown to plaintiffs in Charitable Endowment suits, just as they show in the case of pauper suits or probate suits, giving at the same time all the advantages of Court fee paid suits.